Ring Opening Reaction of 1,2-Diaryl-3-methyl-1,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidinium Salts with Metal Hydride Complexes [1]

Mónica Dal Maso, Liliana Orelli and Isabel A. Perillo*

Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Farmacia y Bioquímica, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Junín 956, (1113) Buenos Aires, República Argentina Received June 23, 1993

The reaction of a series of 1,2-diaryl-3-methyl-1,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidinium iodides 1 with reducing agents acting by hydride ion transfer was studied. With excellent yields alkaline borohydrides readily reacted to form N'-aryl-N-benzyl-N-methyltrimethylenediamines 3 by reductive cleavage of the intermediate hexahydropyrimidine 2. Ring opening is explained by the formation of a stabilized iminium ion, which also accounts for the cyclic aminal 2 hydrolysis observed in alcoholic solution after gradual addition of borohydride. Reactions with lithium aluminum hydride or with borane failed to render satisfactory results due to insolubility of the salt in solvents commonly employed. Comparisons are made with the behaviour of 1H-4,5-dihydroimid-azolium salts which were studied in an earlier paper.

J. Heterocyclic Chem., 31, 25 (1994).

Pursuing our studies on the reduction of cyclic amidinium salts as synthetic precursors of cyclic aminals and substituted alkylene-diamines [2], we attempted the reduction of a series of 1,2-diaryl-3-methyl-1,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidinium iodides **1a-h** (Scheme I) with agents acting by hydride ion transfer.

The reduction of tetrahydropyrimidinium salts 1a-h with an excess of alkaline borohydrides (sodium borohydride, potassium borohydride, sodium cyanoborohydride) in alcoholic solution invariably led to the corresponding N-aryl-N-benzyl-N-methyltrimethylenediamine 3 as the only product (95-100% yield) (Scheme II). In contrast to observations on the reduction of homologous 1H-4,5-dihydroimidazolium salts under similar conditions [2], no intermediate products such as the expected hexahydropyrimidines 2 could be detected in any case.

N,N,N'-Trisubstituted trimethylenediamines 3 were characterized as dihydrochlorides (Table I) and their structure confirmed by comparison with authentic samples obtained by reduction of N-aroyl-N'-aryl-N-methyltrimethylenediamines 4 [1] with borane (Scheme II) in tetrahydrofuran (THF). Spectroscopic data are given in Table I. Features of ir and 'H-nmr spectra are as expected [4] and the

fragmentation observed in ms by electron impact is typical of alkylamines (Scheme III).

In an attempt to obtain hexahydropyrimidines 2, reduction of the salts 1f and 1h [6] was carried out by gradually adding a slight excess of alkaline borohydride in order to maintain minimal reagent concentration during most of the reaction time. A mixture was obtained mainly consisting of three products: the aldehyde corresponding to the C-2 aryl group, N-aryl-N'-methyltrimethylenediamine 5 and a third product that could be isolated in the case of reaction of 1f, and whose uv, ir and mass spectra was consistent with the hexahydropyrimidine 2f. In confirmation, the latter product disappeared on treating the reaction mixture with an excess of borohydride giving rise to the concomitant appearance of the corresponding compound 3.

It may be speculated from a strictly mechanistic viewpoint that the unsymmetrical hexahydropyrimidine 2 obtained as the first reduction product from salts 1 undergoes in alcoholic medium selective cleavage of the bond between C-2 and the nitrogen bearing the aryl radical, with the elimination of the less basic amine and the formation of the more stable iminium ion A (Scheme II) [8].

Table I
N'-Aryl-N-benzyl-N-methyltrimethylenediamines 3a-h

Compound No.	IR v (cm ⁻¹)	δ (ppm)	¹ H-NMR Multi- plicity	Assignment	Ma m/z	ss %	Mp (°C)	Dihydroch Formula	lorides		lyses /Found	
			pheny				(0)		%C	%H	%N	%Cl
3a [a]	3300 (N-H) 2900 (C-H) 2820 (C-H) 1610 (C=C) 1310 (C-N) 730 (C ₆ H ₅) 720 (C ₆ H ₅)	7.35 7.35-7.00 6.70-6.42 4.00 3.65 3.25 2.60 2.30	s m m bs [b] s t t s	C-C ₆ H ₅ N-C ₆ H ₅ (2 meta H) N-C ₆ H ₅ (3 ortho and para H) N-H CH ₂ d CH ₂ a CH ₂ c CH ₃	58 91 106 120 132 133 134 160 162 254 (M+)	85.6 38.1 27.6 53.7 24.5 28.8 52.0 27.6 25.0 100.0	173	C ₁₇ H ₂₄ N ₂ Cl ₂	62.39 62.44	7.34 7.39	8.56 8.69	21.71 21.80
3 b	3280 (N-H) 2910 (C-H) 2830 (C-H) 1615 (C=C) 1320 (C-N) 820 (<i>p</i> -C ₆ H ₄) 780 (C-Cl) 730 (C ₆ H ₅) 700 (C ₆ H ₅)	1.90 7.35 7.05 6.40 4.35 3.45 3.10 2.45 2.25 1.64	q s d d bs [b] s t t s q	CH ₂ b C ₆ H ₅ Cl-C ₆ H ₄ (2, ortho H) Cl-C ₆ H ₄ (2, meta H) N-H CH ₂ d CH ₂ a CH ₂ c CH ₃ CH ₂ b	58 91 120 134 140 289 (M+)	47.9 100.0 30.6 34.8 19.9 25.3		C ₁₇ H ₂₃ N ₂ Cl ₃	56.43 56.50	6.48	7.75 7.60	29.46 29.35
3c	3320 (N-H) 2930 (C-H) 2840 (C-H) 1610 (C=C) 1330 (C-N) 1210 (Ar-O-C) 820 (p-C ₆ H ₄) 730 (C ₆ H ₅) 705 (C ₆ H ₅)	7.35 6.80 6.52 3.90 3.85 3.55 3.25 2.55 2.20 1.90	s d d bs [b] s t t s q	C ₆ H ₅ CH ₃ O-C ₆ H ₄ (2 ortho H) CH ₃ O-C ₆ H ₄ (2 meta H) NH O-CH ₃ CH ₂ d CH ₂ a CH ₂ c N-CH ₃ CH ₂ b	58 91 120 121 134 136 163 284 (M+)	27.0 34.9 49.6 24.8 43.2 25.8 37.4 100.0	162	C ₁₈ H ₂₆ N ₂ OCl ₂	60.50 60.60		7.84 7.90	19.89 19.69
3d	3310 (N-H) 2940 (C-H) 2825 (C-H) 1620 (C=C) 1325 (C-N) 825 (p-C ₆ H ₄) 720 (C ₆ H ₅) 710 (C ₆ H ₅)	7.40 7.05 6.60 3.90 3.64 3.30 2.65 2.35 2.30 1.95	d d bs [b] s t t s q	C ₆ H ₅ CH ₃ -C ₆ H ₄ (2 ortho H) CH ₃ -C ₆ H ₄ (2 meta H) N-H CH ₂ d CH ₂ a CH ₂ c CH ₃ CH ₃ CH ₂ b	58 91 120 132 134 146 268 (M+)	24.4 100.0 60.3 21.0 36.9 21.0 34.6	159	C ₁₈ H ₂₆ N ₂ Cl ₂	63.34 63.42		8.21 8.28	20.82 20.95
30	3340 (N-H) 2920 (C-H) 1615 (C=C) 1335 (C-N) 725 (C ₆ H ₅) 690 (C ₆ H ₅)	7.35 7.65-7.10 6.80-6.60 4.00 3.40 3.20 2.40 2.15 1.75	s m	C ₆ H ₅ β-naphthyl (H-4 to H-8) β-naphthyl (H-1 and H-3) N-H CH ₂ d CH ₂ a CH ₂ c CH ₃ CH ₂ b	58 83 85 91 120 127 134 148 149 156 157 304 (M+)	28.0 32.4 23.4 100.0 38.7 34.0 36.8 26.4 20.6 38.4 39.6 51.2	188	C ₂₁ H ₂₆ N ₂ Cl ₂	66.84 66.97		7.43 7.45	18.83 18.70

Ring Opening Reaction of 1,2-Diaryl-3-methyl-1,4,5,6tetrahydropyrimidinium Salts with Metal Hydride Complexes

Table I (continued)

Compound No.	IR v (cm ⁻¹)	δ (ррт)	¹ H-NMR Multi- plicity	Assignment	Mass nvz %		Mp (°C)	Dihydrochl Formula	lorides Analyses Calcd./Found			
			p,				()		%C	%H	%N	%Cl
3f	3325 (N-H) 2930 (C-H)	7.90	d	NO ₂ -C ₆ H ₄ (2 ortho H)	58 91	96.7 69.3	138	$C_{17}H_{23}N_3O_2CI_2$	54.84 54.95		11.29 11.20	
	2850 (C-H)	7.20	S	C ₆ H ₅	120	82.8			2 1.72	0.20	11.20	17.00
	1620 (C=C) 1560 (C-NO ₂)	6.35	d	NO ₂ -C ₆ H ₄ (2 meta H)	134 151	100.0 5.5						
	1330 (C-N)	5.80	bs [b]	N-H	208	36.0						
	$810 (p-C_6H_4)$	3.35	S	CH ₂ d	299	44.0						
	730 (C ₆ H ₅)	3.10	t	CH ₂ a	(M^+)							
	$700 (C_6 H_5)$	2.40	t	CH ₂ c								
		2.15 1.65	S	CH ₃								
2	2215 (N. II)		q	CH ₂ b	60	100.0		a				
3g	3315 (N-H) 2920 (C-H)	7.30 7.25-7.05	S	CI-C ₆ H ₄	58	100.0	214	$C_{17}H_{23}N_2Cl_3$	56.43		7.75	29.46
	2870 (C-H)	1.23-1.03	m	C ₆ H ₅ -N (2 meta H)	70 106	23.1 51.9			56.50	6.49	7.70	29.40
	1610 (C=C)	6.85-6.55	m	C ₆ H ₅ -N	125	34.7						
	1340 (C-N)			(2 onho	132	31.1						
	830 (\hat{p} -C ₆ \hat{H}_4)			and para H)	133	45.8						
	$730 (C_6 H_5)$	4.00	bs [b]	N-H	154	27.6						
	690 (C ₆ H ₅)	3.55	S	CH ₂ d	168	24.3						
		3.25	t	CH ₂ a	169	25.5						
		2.65	t	CH ₂ c	194	20.0						
		2.30 1.90	S	CH ₃	195	21.4						
		1.90	q	CH ₂ b	289 (M+)	47.6						
3h	3310 (N-H)	8.15	d	NO CH	58	100.0	156	CHNOG	5404	< 10		10.00
-41	2930 (C-H)	6.15	u	NO ₂ -C ₆ H ₄ (2 ortho H)	106	60.8	136	$C_{17}H_{23}N_3O_2CI_2$	54.84 54.92		11.29	
	2835 (C-H)	7.50	d	NO ₂ -C ₆ H ₄	132	20.9			34.92	0.13	11.18	19.15
	1610 (C=C)			(2 meta H)	133	28.1						
	1550 (C-NO ₂)	7.15	t	C ₆ H ₅ -N	136	35.1						
	1330 (C-N)			(2 meta H)	165	23.4						
	$825 (p-C_6H_4)$	6.60	m	C_6H_5-N	178	26.0						
	720 (C ₆ H ₅)			(2 ortho and	179	28.1						
	690 (C ₆ H ₅)	2.70		para H)	299	46.3						
		3.70 3.60	bs [b] s	N-H CH ₂ d	(M+)							
		3.20	8	CH ₂ a								
		2.50	t	CH ₂ c								
		2.25	s	CH ₃								
		1.80	q	CH ₂ b								
		-100	ч									

[a] This compound was synthesized previously by alkylation of aniline with 3-(N-benzyl-N-methyl)aminopropyl halide [3]. [b] Exchangeable.

With an excess of borohydride, the ion is rapidly reduced to give rise to compounds 3. However, with minimal reducing agent concentration, the newly-formed ion is captured by water naturally present in the reaction medium leading to hydrolysis products [17].

Although the overreduction of hexahydropyrimidines 2 with borohydride in alcoholic solution was not unexpected, since the ion is strongly stabilized through the aliphatic amine electrons and those of the benzene ring, the greater reactivity of such compounds as compared with homologous imidazolidines under similar conditions, is nonetheless striking. An explanation for this peculiarity cannot be found in the greater distance of the arylamino group, an electron acceptor, versus the iminium group of this trimethylene series, because the seven-membered homologue of compound 2f (1-methyl-3-(p-nitrophenyl)-2-phenyl-1H-4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-1,3-diazepine) has been

shown to be considerably stable in the same reducing medium [22]. Energy factors related to the stability of transition stage **B** which leads to the intermediate iminium ion **A**, or steric features allowing a better approach of the alcohol molecule to the nitrogen atom bearing the aryl group, may explain the prompt reductive cleavage of compounds **2**.

At variance with observations on dihydroimidazolium salts reduction [2], overreduction of cyclic aminal 2 could neither be avoided nor decreased by resorting to aprotic

CH₂=NH-CH₂-Ar₂

solvents and a silica gel [23] or aluminum oxide support [24] for the reagent. The presence of hydroxy groups in the employed support (Si-OH or Al-OH) [25], explains the ability of the reagent to transfer H⁺/H⁻ to a suitable acceptor [23], compound 2 in this case.

Reductions with lithium aluminum hydride and borane rendered poor results due to insolubility of salts 1 in commonly employed solvents. Thus, on reducing salt 1a with either reagent in THF, hexahydropyrimidine 2a was obtained as the first reaction product in both cases and readily identified by the presence of methyne hydrogen on C-2 in the 'H-nmr spectrum. Although in such reducing media ring stability was higher than that observed with alkaline borohydrides in ethanol, protracted heating required for complete salt transformation again led to reductive ring cleavage in the case of lithium aluminum hydride and to ill-defined mixture of products in the case of borane.

EXPERIMENTAL

Melting points were taken on a Büchi capillary apparatus and are uncorrected. The ir spectra were recorded on a Beckman 180A spectrometer. Samples were run as potassium bromide pellets for solids and films for oils. The 'H-nmr spectra were obtained on a Varian FT 80 A spectrometer using deuteriochloroform as the solvent. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (δ) downfield from an internal TMS reference. Signals are quoted as: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quintuplet), m (multiplet) and bs (broad signal). The presence of exchangeable protons was confirmed by use of deuterium oxide. The uv spectra were recorded on a Jasco 7850 spectrophotometer. Mass spectra were recorded on a MS Shimadzu QP-1000 instrument at 20 eV. Analytical tlc was carried out on aluminium sheets Silica Gel 60 F₂₅₄ and neutral Aluminium Oxide 60 F₂₅₄ using benzene-methanol (9:1), chloroform-methanol (95:5), chloroform-diethylamine (9:1) and methanol-acetone-triethanolamine (10:10:0.3) as solvents. Column chromatography was carried out on Silica Gel 60 (70-325 mesh). Preparative thin layers separations (plc) were performed on Silica Gel PF254, neutral Aluminium Oxide PF254 or Cellulose on 20 x 20 x 0.25 cm layers. Reagents, solvents and starting materials were purchased from standard sources and purified according to literature procedures.

1,2-Diaryl-3-methyl-1,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidinium Iodides 1a-h.

These compounds were obtained by treatment of the corresponding 1,2-diaryl-1,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidine with methyl iodide according to our method [1].

N-Methyl-N'-(p-nitrophenyl)trimethylenediamine 5 (Ar₁ = $p-NO_2C_6H_2$).

A mixture of N-benzoyl-N-methyl-N-(p-nitrophenyl)trimethylenediamine $4 (Ar_1 = p-NO_2C_6H_4, Ar_2 = C_6H_3)[1] (0.5 g)$ and concentrated hydrochloric acid (5 ml) was refluxed for 3 hours. The solution was cooled and water (20 ml) added. The crystallized precipitate (benzoic acid) was filtered off and the acid solution was brought to pH 6 with solid sodium carbonate and extracted with chloroform (2 ml) to remove impurities. The resulting aqueous

solution was made strongly alkaline with 20% sodium hydroxide and extracted with methylene chloride. The organic solution was washed, dried and concentrated in vacuo affording N-methyl-N-(p-nitrophenyl)trimethylenediamine 5 (Ar₁ = p-NO₂C₆H₄) (85% yield), mp 84-86° (ethanol-water); ir: ν 3340 (NH), 3250 (NH), 2940 (CH), 1600 (C = C), 1360 (C-N) and 800 (p-C₆H₄) cm⁻¹; uv: (ethanol): λ max 210 and 384 nm; 'H nmr: δ 8.00 (d, 2H, p-NO₂C₆H₄, 2 ortho H), 6.45 (d, 2H, p-NO₂C₆H₄, 2 meta H), 5.80 (bs, 1H, exchangeable, ArNH), 3.10 (t, 2H, ArNH-CH₂), 2.45 (t, 2H, CH₃NH-CH₂), 2.10 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.85 (q, 2H, C-CH₂-C) and 1.60 (3, 1H, exchangeable, CH₂NH); ms: m/z 209 (M*).

Anal. Calcd. for $C_{10}H_{15}N_3O_2$: C, 57.42; H, 7.18; N, 20.09. Found: C, 57.32; H, 7.29; N, 20.17.

N-Methyl-N'-phenyltrimethylenediamine 5 (Ar₁ = C_6H_5).

This compound was obtained by acid hydrolysis of 4 (Ar₁ = Ar₂ = C₆H_s) [1] according to the procedure described for the preparation of 5 (Ar₁ = p-NO₂C₆H₄). It was obtained as an oil (70% yield) and purified by column chromatography using chloroform-methanol (7:3) for elution; ir : ν 2340 (NH), 2270 (NH), 2890 (CH), 1610 (C = C), 1370 (C-N), 1310 (C-N) and 695 (C₆H_s) cm⁻¹; 'H-nmr: δ 7.30-7.10 (m, 2H, N-C₆H_s, 2 meta H), 6.80-6.50 (m, 3H, N-C₆H_s, 2 ortho H and para H), 4.20 (bs, 1H, exchangeable, Ar-NH), 3.20 (t, 2H, ArNH-CH₂), 2.65 (t, 2H, CH₃NH-CH₂), 2.15 (s, 3H, CH₃) and 1.85 (m, 3H, 1H exchangeable, C-CH₂-C and NH-CH₃); ms: m/z 164 (M*).

Anal. Calcd. for $C_{10}H_{16}N_2$: C, 73.17; H, 9.76; N, 17.07. Found: C, 73.06; H, 9.90; N, 17.20.

Reaction of 1,2-Diaryl-3-methyl-1,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidinium Iodides 1 with Alkaline Borohydrides.

Alkaline borohydride (sodium borohydride, potassium borohydride or sodium cyanoborohydride) (0.025 mole) was added during 5 minutes to a solution of the respective 1,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidinium salt 1a-h (0.005 mole) in ethanol (40 ml) keeping the mixture at 0° for 30 minutes. When the evolution of gas had ceased (ca. 45-60 minutes), the solvent was removed in vacuo and water (40 ml) added to the residue. The suspension was extracted with three 10 ml portions of methylene chloride. The organic solution was washed with water, dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate and examined by tlc showing a single spot. The organic solvent was removed to give compounds 3a-h, which were isolated as bases (95-100% yield) and characterized as dihydrochlorides. These salts were obtained dissolving the bases in anhydrous ethanol and precipitating with ethereal hydrogen chloride solution. The resulting solids (hygroscopic) were washed with anhydrous ether, crystallized from absolute ethanol-ether and dried under heated vacuum desiccator. Spectroscopic data of the bases, and melting points formula and elementary analyses of the hydrochlorides are given in Table I.

The structure of compounds 3 was confirmed by comparison with authentic samples obtained by reduction of the corresponding N-aroyl-N-aryl-N-methyltrimethylenediamines 4 [1] with diborane, according to the procedure described by Brown and Heim [26].

When reaction of compounds 1 with an excess of alkaline borohydride as indicated above, was monitored at different times by tlc, only compounds 1 and 3 were detected. No further spot attributable to compounds 2 could be detected. Similar results were obtained when compounds 1 were treated with sodium borohydride supported on silica gel [23] or aluminum oxide [24] in chlo-

roform or methylene chloride, following the procedure described for the reduction of 1*H*-4,5-dihydroimidazolium salts [2].

Attempts to Obtain Hexahydropyrimidines 2f and 2h [6].

A

Alkaline borohydride (sodium borohydride or cyanoborohydride) (0.0011 mole, fractionated in eight portions), was added during one hour to a solution of the salt 1f (0.001 mole) in ethanol (10 ml), keeping the reaction mixture at -10° . The reaction was monitored by tlc on aluminum oxide (benzene-methanol, 9:1) [27]. When disappearance of 1f (Rf ca. 0.6) was observed, the solvent was removed. Water was added to the residue and the suspension extracted with chloroform. The organic solution was washed, dried and the solvent evaporated in vacuo to give an oily residue with a noticeable odor of benzaldehyde. Analytical tlc showed the presence of three spots at Rf ca. 0.95, 0.10 and 0.0. The 'H-nmr spectrum of the crude product showed characteristic signals at δ 10 (s, CHO), 5.80 (bs, exchangeable, NH) and 4.55 (s, CH). Separation of the three components was accomplished according to the following procedure: an aliquot of the crude product (40 mg) was chromatographed on neutral aluminum oxide preparative plates using benzene-methanol (9:1) as the developing solvent. Two bands were separated and eluted with methanoldioxane (1:1). That of higher Rf afforded benzaldehyde. The other proved to be a mixture of two low Rf components that were separated by plc on cellulose plates using benzene-methanol (8:2) as the eluent. The slower moving band afforded 6 mg of a product that was identified as N-methyl-N'-(p-nitrophenyl)trimethylenediamine $5 (Ar_1 = p-NO_2C_6H_4)$ by comparison with an authentic sample obtained by hydrolysis of 4 (Ar₁ = p-NO₂C₆H₄, Ar₂ = C₆H₅). The second band afforded 4 mg of a product, presumably **2f**; ν 2940 (CH), 2860 (CH), 1600 (C=C), 1560 (C-NO₂), 1320 (C-N), 820 (p-C₆H₄) and 700 (C₆H₅) cm⁻¹; uv (ethanol): λ max 206 and 382 nm; ms: m/z 297 (M+).

When the crude product obtained from 1f as indicated above, was treated with an excess of sodium borohydride, the spot attributed to compound 2f rapidly disappeared with the simultaneous detection of 3f.

B.

Reaction of compound 1h (0.001 mole) with sodium borohydride (0.0011 mole) following the same procedure as for compound 1f, rendered a mixture of p-nitrobenzaldehyde, N-methyl-N-phenyltrimethylenediamine 5 (Ar₁ = C₆H₅) and a third product 2h which rapidly evolved into 3h.

C.

Reaction of compound 1h (0.005 mole) with sodium borohydride (0.006 mole) supported on silica gel [23] or aluminum oxide [24] rendered a mixture of roughly equal amounts of compounds 1h and 3h together with small amounts of a third product. Attempts to isolate this compound (presumably the hexahydropyrimidine 2h) by plc were unsuccessful.

Reaction of 1,2-Diaryl-3-methyl-1,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidinium Iodides 1 with Lithium Aluminum Hydride.

Because of the low solubility of compounds 1 in THF (or other ethereal solvents) the reductions were carried out by means of a Soxhlet extractor arranged between the reaction flask, in which was placed the lithium aluminum hydride, and the reflux condenser [28]. Typical experiments are described:

A.

A suspension of lithium aluminum hydride (0.0012 mole) in dry THF (20 ml) was placed in the reaction flask and powdered compound 1a (0.0010 mole) in the extractor thimble. The hydride solution was maintained at a moderate rate of boiling for 4 hours. The mixture was filtered, the organic solution concentrated in vacuo and the residue chromatographed on cellulose preparative plates using benzene-methanol (8:2) as developing solvent. The slower moving band afforded 7 mg of pure oily material, presumably 2a; ir: ν 2920 (CH), 2830 (CH), 1605 (C = C), 1580 (C = C), 1380 (C-N), 1305 (C-N), and 705 (C₆H₅) cm⁻¹; ¹H-nmr: δ 7.60-7.00 (m, 7H, aromatics), 6.80-6.55 (m, 3H, C₆H₅-N, 2 ortho and para H), 5.25 (s, 1H, CH), 3.25-2.80 (m, 4H, CH₂-N), 2.55 (s, 3H, CH₃) and 2.10 (m, 2H, C-CH₂-C).

B.

The reaction was performed as described for the previous experiment, except that heating was prolonged until all the substance in the thimble had been carried into the reaction vessel (ca. 24 hours). Gentle refluxing was continued for 4 hours. The reaction mixture was cooled and cautiously treated with water, 10% sodium hydroxide and water, in succession [28]. The hydrolyzed material was concentrated in vacuo in dryness. An aliquot of the residue was analyzed by tlc showing the presence of compound 3a as the main product. No traces of the assumed compound 2a were detected. The crude product was purified by column chromatography affording 3a (35% yield).

Reaction of 1,2-Diaryl-3-methyl-1,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidinium Iodides 1 with Borane.

Typical procedures are described:

A

A suspension of powdered compound 1a (0.001 mole) in THF (10 ml) constantly stirred under a dry nitrogen atmosphere, was treated with 1M solution of borane in THF (1.5 ml). The mixture was refluxed for 4 hours. During that lapse of time borane solution aliquots (0.75 ml) were added every 30 minutes in order to maintain a suitable concentration of reducing agent [29]. The reaction mixture was filtered to remove unchanged iodide. Evaporating of the solvent and heating the residue with a small volume of methanol to destroy borane complexes rendered a solution which showed the presence of three components. That of lower Rf value was isolated by plc on cellulose plates and showed chromatographic and spectroscopic (ir, ms) properties similar to those of the assumed compound 2a.

B.

The reaction was performed as described for the previous experiment, except that heating was prolonged to ensure complete transformation of the salt (ca. 20 hours). The solution was worked up as was indicated above rendering a mixture of unidentifiable products.

Acknowledgements.

Financial support of this investigation was given by the Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas and Universidad de Buenos Aires.

Ring Opening Reaction of 1,2-Diaryl-3-methyl-1,4,5,6tetrahydropyrimidinium Salts with Metal Hydride Complexes

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- [1] Preceding paper in this series: A. M. Reverdito, L. Orelli, M. Dalmaso, I. Perillo and B. Fernández, J. Heterocyclic Chem., 28, 273 (1991).
- [2] A. Salerno, V. Ceriani and I. A. Perillo, J. Heterocyclic Chem., 29, 1725 (1992).
- [3] W. B. Wright, Jr., H. J. Brabander and R. A. Hardy, Jr., J. Org. Chem., 26, 485 (1961).
- [4] As expected, 'H-nmr spectra sharply show methylenes a and c as triplets at δ values of ca. 3.20 and 2.60 (Table I). Instead, the same methylenes in N-aroyl-N-aryl-N-methyltrimethylenediamines 4 characteristically appear as broad signals [1]. While this finding was not explained at the time, signal broadening is attributable to slow rotation around the CO-N bond, as advanced for diacyl-derivatives of ethylenediamines [5].
 - [5] T. H. Siddall, III, J. Mol. Spectros., 20, 183 (1966).
- [6] Compounds 1f and 1h were chosen to attempt isolation of the corresponding hexahydropyrimidines, owing to the effect exerted by electron acceptor groups to decrease the reactivity of homologous imidazolidines in the reductive medium [2,7].
- [7] I. Perillo and S. Lamdan, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I, 894 (1975).
- [8] Similarly selective reductive cleavages are observed in the reduction of both acyclic [9] and cyclic aminals [2,10-13,16]. As regards the mechanism of this reaction type the formation of stabilized iminium ions is widely recognized [2,10-15]. Exceptionally, Kashima et al. [16] propose the formation of an intermediate anion to explain the reductive cleavage of hexahydropyrimidines obtained as intermediate compounds in the reduction of dihydropyrimidines.
 - [9] G. Moad and S. J. Benkovic, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100, 5495 (1978).
 [10] T. H. Barrows, P. R. Farina, R. L. Chrzanowski, P. A. Benkovic
- and S. J. Benkovic, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 3678 (1976).

- [11] H. Bieräugel, R. Plemp, H. C. Hiemstra and U. K. Pandit, Tetrahedron, 39, 3971 (1983).
- [12] H. C. Hiemstra, H. Bieräugel, M. Wijnberg and U. K. Pandit, Tetrahedron, 39, 3981 (1983).
- [13] V. S. Gupta and F. M. Huennekens, Arch. Bioch. Biophys., 120, 712 (1967) and references cited therein.
 - [14] E. M. Wilson, Chem. Ind., 472 (1965).
 - [15] E. M. Wilson, Tetrahedron, 21, 2561 (1965).
- [16] C. Kashima, M. Shimizu, A. Katoh and Y. Omote, J. Heterocyclic Chem., 21, 441 (1984).
- [17] Iminium ions (cationic Schiff-bases) similar to those suggested herein, have been detected as intermediate products in the course of imidazolidine hydrolysis [18-21].
- [18] T. H. Fife and J. E. C. Hutchins, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 2536 (1976).
- [19] T. H. Fife, J. E. C. Hutchins and A. M. Pellino, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100, 6455 (1978).
 - [20] T. H. Fife and A. M. Pellino, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102, 3062 (1980).
 - [21] T. H. Fife and A. M. Pellino, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 103, 1201 (1981).
 - [22] M. Hedrera and I. A. Perillo, unpublished results.
 - [23] V. Ciurdaru and F. Hodosan, Rev. Roum. Chim., 22, 1027 (1977).
- [24] F. Hodosan and N. Serban, Rev. Roum. Chim., 14, 121 (1969).
- [25] L. Pauling, The Nature of Chemical Bond, Cornell University Press, 1960, p 547.
 - [26] H. C. Brown and P. Heim, J. Org. Chem., 38, 912 (1973).
- [27] Silica gel was ruled out to avoid potential catalytic hydrolysis of hexahydropyrimidine.
- [28] V. M. Mićović and M. LJ. Mihailović, J. Org. Chem., 18, 1190 (1953).
- [29] H. C. Brown, P. Heim and N. M. Yoon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 1637 (1970).